## Case Report

# A case of the long time presence of a large foreign body in esophagus without complication

Gholamreza Mohajeri, Shiva Fakhari<sup>1</sup>, Zahra Ghaffarzadeh<sup>1</sup>, Mohammadreza Piri-Ardakani<sup>1</sup> Department of Thoracic Surgery, Al Zahra Hospital, <sup>1</sup>Isfahan Medical Students Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

### **Abstract**

Dentures are common accidentally ingested foreign bodies (FBs), especially in the aged population. It is usual for a FB to be swallowed in adults and lodge in the esophagus; however, it is unusual for a denture to remain in esophagus for a period of 9 months without any complication. We present, a 57-year-old deaf mute man swallowed his denture with the chief complaint of dysphagia and odynophagia only after 9 months. Although multiple attempts for removing the denture by rigid esophagoscopy were done, it entered the stomach, so gastrotomy was performed and the patient recovered uneventfully. In all cases with suspicion of esophageal FB, rigid esophagoscopy seems necessary, but in some unusual cases, large FBs may be remained in esophagus for a long time without perforation.

Key Words: Chronic foreign body, dysphagia, esophagus

#### Address for correspondence:

Dr. Mohammadreza Piri-Ardakani, Isfahan Medical Students Research Center, Medical School, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. E-mail: mr-piri@edc.mui.ac.ir

Received: 26.07.2013, Accepted: 19.08.2015

#### INTRODUCTION

Ingested foreign bodies (FBs) in the esophagus are common problem. In the older age group, the most common FB is the denture. Most cases are successfully treated by esophagoscopy. However, extraction of impacted dentures is often problematic. We report an interesting case of an impacted radiolucent FB in the esophagus of a 57-year-old patient with chronic FB in his esophagus without complications.

#### CASE REPORT

A 57-year-old deaf-mute man presented to Surgery Department with progressive dysphagia and odynophagia. On investigating his history, it was

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	
	Website:
- 県緑森5県	www.advbiores.net
<b>                                     </b>	DOI:
90AL76ACI3	
国家教育的研究	10.4103/2277-9175.191001
E332."West	

revealed that the patient had swallowed a part of his denture 9 months prior to the presentation. He did not follow his problem because of not having any complaint and was well till 3 days prior to the presentation. There was no significant upper respiratory symptom unless wheezing. The chest X-ray (CXR) showed widening of mediastinum [Figure 1a]. In endoscopy, the denture was at 25 cm from incisors in the esophagus.

The computed tomography showed the FB in the esophagus with thickening of esophagus wall at the T3-T4 level above the carina [Figure 1b]. The rigid esophagoscopy under general anesthesia was performed. Despite multiple attempts, it was not possible to remove the denture by esophagoscope, since the denture had been penetrated to the

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

**How to cite this article:** Mohajeri G, Fakhari S, Ghaffarzadeh Z, Piri-Ardakani M. A case of the long time presence of a large foreign body in esophagus without complication. Adv Biomed Res 2016;5:205.

Mohajeri, et al.: A case of chronic foreign body in esophagus without complication

esophageal wall. In the next day, the esophagogram showed the horseshoe shaped filling defect in the distal part of esophagus lower than its previous position [Figure 2].

The rigid esophagoscopy was done for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time, but it failed again, and the denture was entered the stomach. Because of the large size of FB, removing it from the stomach by fiberoptic esophagoscopy was unsuccessful. So finally the denture was removed by mini-laparotomy and gastrotomy [Figure 3]. The patient recovered well and was discharged on the 6<sup>th</sup> day.

#### DISCUSSION

Although FB ingestion is a frequently encountered problem among the children, it is also common in the adults. <sup>[1]</sup> In the older age group, dentures are common accidentally ingested FBs, because of gradual loss of sensation of laryngopharynx and oral cavity in denture users. <sup>[2]</sup> With an increase in the denture-wearing population, there is an increase in the incidence of impacted dentures. <sup>[3]</sup>

The incidence of complications correlates with the duration of FB impaction.[4] Patients with acute FB ingestion usually have gastrointestinal symptoms including nausea, vomiting, and dysphagia; however, with chronic ingested FB, respiratory symptoms such as cough, fever, stridor, and apnea are mainly seen.<sup>[5]</sup> Once the impacted esophageal FB is diagnosed, it should be removed as soon as possible. According to the previous studies, tracheoesophageal fistula, respiratory symptoms, erosion, perforation, aortoesophageal fistula, and esophageal diverticulum are the results of delayed diagnose of FB.[6] In the cross-sectional study of 103 patients with FB ingestion, Khan et al. reported that the rate of complications was higher in adults (37.1%) than in children (8.8%) and FB ingestion by children is usually asymptomatic and uncomplicated. [7,8] The majority of adults can describe the location of FBs, especially more proximal ones, helping physicians diagnose sooner,[9] and it is rare for FBs to be remained in the esophagus for a long time without complications. [10,11] In the present case, not having any complication in spite of 9 months the presence of FB in the esophagus is remarkable.

Radiolucent FBs may be more difficult to diagnose and can be easily missed if the patient presents with minimal symptoms, and a high degree of suspicion should be maintained when dealing with such cases. [12] Here at the beginning, although the patient had described the denture ingestion, it was missed in




Figure 1: (a) Mediastinal widening in the chest X-ray. (b) Crescent-shaped foreign body in the thick wall esophagus in the thoracic computed tomography-scan



**Figure 2:** Horseshoe-shaped filling defect in lower esophagus in esophagogram after first esophagoscopy



Figure 3: Retrieved partial denture removed from esophagus

the CXR due to its radiolucent nature. In the majority of cases, 80–90% of ingested FBs pass through the gastrointestinal tract without any intervention and complication. Therefore, the excretion of denture was suggested because in the most cases, FB passes through the gastrointestinal tract without any complication.

Review of the literature reveals some long lasting esophageal FBs in children but in our literature review Mohajeri, et al.: A case of chronic foreign body in esophagus without complication

there was only one previous article reporting a chronic FB in an adult patient.<sup>[5,9]</sup> Here being deaf muted, made it difficult to take a comprehensive history and caused taking a long time to diagnose.

The management of FB ingestion varies based on the object ingestion, its location, size, and duration of lodging in the esophagus. The outcome of esophagoscopy for removal of suspected esophageal FBs is dependent on the FB characteristics. Removing sharp FBs can be dangerous and challenging. The main problem with such cases is penetration of FBs into the esophagus wall due to standing the FB for a long time so when we want to remove the FB by rigid esophagoscopy method taking precaution is important to prevent perforation. Rigid esophagoscopy is relatively safe procedure for removal of FBs. However, in this case, in spite of multiple attempts, rigid esophagoscopy was failed. In these conditions giving up endoscopic procedures is suggested to prevent probable further damages[13] and if endoscopic procedures fail, surgery should be performed.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Kumar S, Srinivasan S, Peh WC. Clinics in diagnostic imaging (142). Cervical oesophagus impacted partial denture. Singapore Med J 2012;53:690-2.
- Rathore PK, Raj A, Sayal A, Meher R, Gupta B, Girhotra M. Prolonged foreign body impaction in the oesophagus. Singapore Med J 2009;50:e53-4.
- Dalvi AN, Thapar VK, Jagtap S, Barve DJ, Savarkar DP, Garle MN, et al. Thoracoscopic removal of impacted denture: Report of a case with review of literature. J Minim Access Surg 2010;6:119-21.
- Chaves DM, Ishioka S, Félix VN, Sakai P, Gama-Rodrigues JJ. Removal of a foreign body from the upper gastrointestinal tract with a flexible endoscope: A prospective study. Endoscopy 2004;36:887-92.
- Miller RS, Willging JP, Rutter MJ, Rookkapan K. Chronic esophageal foreign bodies in pediatric patients: A retrospective review. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2004;68:265-72.
- Parray T, Shah S, Apuya JS, Shah S. Atypical presentation of an impacted radiolucent esophageal foreign body. J Anesth 2010;24:793-6.
- Khan MA, Hameed A, Choudhry AJ. Management of foreign bodies in the esophagus. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2004;14:218-20.
- Doolin EJ. Esophageal stricture: An uncommon complication of foreign bodies. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1993;102:863-6.
- Kropf JA, Jeanmonod R, Yen DM. An unusual presentation of a chronic ingested foreign body in an adult. J Emerg Med 2013;44:82-4.
- Rakoto-Ratsimba HN, Mandeville KL, Rabesalama SS, Rahaingo MM, Ranaivozanany A. Chronic dysphagia in a patient from Madagascar. Med Trop (Mars) 2008;68:541-3.
- Fajolu O. Foreign body impaction in the esophagus: A review of ten years' experience in a teaching hospital. J Natl Med Assoc 1986;78:987-90.
- Chadha SK, Gopalakrishnan S, Gopinath N. An unusual sharp foreign body in the esophagus and its removal. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2003:128:766-8
- Rathore PK, Raj A, Sayal A, Meher R, Gupta B, Girhotra M. Prolonged foreign body impaction in the oesophagus. Singapore Med J 2009;50:e53.